2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 7 TCHUTIMA, INC., Case No. 2:24-cv-01130-JCM-NJK 8 Plaintiff(s), Order 9 v. [Docket No. 127] 10 BUA GROUP, LLC, 11 Defendant(s). 12 Pending before the Court is Intervenor Penny Chutima's motion for redaction. Docket No. 127. The motion does not make a meaningful or sufficient showing to justify redaction, indicating 13 that time should be provided to make the required showing in a later joint statement. *Id.* at 4. The Court had issued such orders with respect to rough deposition transcripts, for which the parties 16 represented the time had not run to designate portions as confidential. See, e.g., Docket No. 141. 17 The exhibits at issue in this motion are not deposition transcripts and it is unclear why additional 18 time would be needed to make the required showing for secrecy. Anyone seeking secrecy as to 19 this reply brief and/or two exhibits must file a supplement addressing the pertinent standard and making the required showing by April 22, 2025. 20 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 Dated: April 10, 2025 23 Nancy J. Koppe United States Magistrate Judge 24 25 26 27 28